

Poster Abstract: P_{Ro}T_EC_T: Parallel Construction of Barrier Certificates for Safety Verification of Polynomial Systems

Ben Wooding

School of Computing, Newcastle University
United Kingdom

Viacheslav Horbanov

School of Computing, Newcastle University
United Kingdom

Abolfazl Lavaei

School of Computing, Newcastle University
United Kingdom

Abstract

We develop an open-source software tool, called P_{Ro}T_EC_T, using *sum-of-squares (SOS) optimization* to search for barrier certificates (BCs) that verify safety properties over *four classes of polynomial systems*: (i) discrete-time *stochastic* systems, (ii) discrete-time *deterministic* systems, (iii) *continuous-time* stochastic systems, and (iv) *continuous-time* deterministic systems. P_{Ro}T_EC_T is the first software tool that offers stochastic BCs while leveraging *parallelism* to efficiently search for a feasible BC for different polynomial degrees. P_{Ro}T_EC_T is implemented in Python offering both an application programming interface (API) and a user-friendly graphic user interface (GUI).

Keywords

Formal verification, safety barrier certificates, stochastic systems, sum-of-squares optimization program, nonlinear polynomial systems

ACM Reference Format:

Ben Wooding, Viacheslav Horbanov, and Abolfazl Lavaei. 2025. Poster Abstract: P_{Ro}T_EC_T: Parallel Construction of Barrier Certificates for Safety Verification of Polynomial Systems. In *ACM/IEEE 16th International Conference on Cyber-Physical Systems (with CPS-IoT Week 2025) (ICCPS '25)*, May 6–9, 2025, Irvine, CA, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3716550.3725152>

1 Introduction

To ensure the safety of a dynamical system, barrier certificates (BCs) have been introduced in the literature [3] to provide assurances of safe behavior across diverse system classes. BCs can directly assess the safe behavior of systems across *continuous spaces* with uncountable states, contrasting with abstraction-based approaches that resort to discretization [2]. In particular, barrier certificates, akin to Lyapunov functions, are functions established over the system's state space, fulfilling specific inequalities concerning both the function itself and the one-step transition (or the flow) of the system. A suitable level set of a BC can segregate an unsafe region from all system trajectories originating from a specified set of initial conditions. Hence, the presence of such a function offers a formal (probabilistic) certification for system safety.

Original contributions. The primary contributions and noteworthy aspects of P_{Ro}T_EC_T are as follows:

- (i) P_{Ro}T_EC_T verifies the safe behavior of *four classes of dynamical systems*: (i) discrete-time *stochastic* systems (dt-SS), (ii) discrete-time *deterministic* systems (dt-DS), (iii) *continuous-time* stochastic systems (ct-SS), and (iv) *continuous-time* deterministic systems (ct-DS). In particular, P_{Ro}T_EC_T is the first software tool that designs *stochastic* barrier certificates.
- (ii) P_{Ro}T_EC_T is implemented in Python using SumOfSquares [5], and leverages *parallelization* to efficiently search for BCs of different degrees, aiming to satisfy the desired safety specifications.
- (iii) P_{Ro}T_EC_T supports *normal*, *uniform*, and *exponential* noise distributions for dt-SS, as well as *Brownian motion* and *Poisson processes* for ct-SS.
- (iv) P_{Ro}T_EC_T offers a GUI for all four classes of models, enhancing the tool's accessibility and user-friendliness.

The source code for P_{Ro}T_EC_T, along with detailed guidelines on installation and usage, including tutorial videos, are available at:

<https://github.com/Kiguli/PRoTECT>

Details of the system descriptions, case studies, etc. can be found in the extended version [4].

Poster Description:

The poster includes the following elements:

- Motivation for the uses of P_{Ro}T_EC_T;
- The BC conditions to solve for *dt-SS*, *dt-DS*, *ct-SS* and *ct-DS*;
- Tables displaying the results of P_{Ro}T_EC_T, including the comparison against FOSSIL;
- Description and pseudo-code for the parallel algorithm;
- And a labeled GUI screenshot for operating P_{Ro}T_EC_T.

Related Tools. To the best of our knowledge, there exists only one other tool dedicated to the construction of barrier certificates, FOSSIL [1]. This tool aims at finding barrier certificates for discrete- and continuous-time *deterministic* systems using counter-example guided inductive synthesis (CEGIS), while facilitating verification and control synthesis for specifications including safety, reachability, and reach-while-avoid. However, FOSSIL lacks support for *stochastic* systems, whereas in P_{Ro}T_EC_T, we provide support for both discrete- and continuous-time *stochastic* systems. Additionally, while FOSSIL can handle nonpolynomial BCs, it does not guarantee termination due to its use of CEGIS approach. In contrast, P_{Ro}T_EC_T utilizes sum-of-squares optimization techniques, while enabling the exploitation of *parallelism* to concurrently search for multiple candidate BCs with different degrees, aiming to construct them effectively.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ICCPS '25, May 6–9, 2025, Irvine, CA, USA

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-1498-6/2025/05

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3716550.3725152>

Table 1: Efficiency comparison of BC construction for deterministic systems: PROTECT vs. FOSSIL.

One Shot Deterministic Systems				
	n	system	PROTECT (sec)	FOSSIL (sec)
1D system	1	dt-DS	0.09	0.20
DC Motor	2	dt-DS	0.20	0.22
barr ₂ room _{DT}	2	dt-DS	0.17	0.44
Jet Engine	2	ct-DS	0.18	0.28
hi-ord ₄	4	ct-DS	1.30	27.5
hi-ord ₆ -1	6	ct-DS	17.0	11.6
hi-ord ₆ -2	6	ct-DS	18.9	652
hi-ord ₈ -1	8	ct-DS	172	21.3
hi-ord ₈ -2	8	ct-DS	175	569

2 Overview of PROTECT

PROTECT offers functionalities that automatically generate BCs and verify the safety property across *four distinct classes of systems*. The description of the system serves as an input to the tool, triggering the appropriate function. These functions are named as dt-SS, dt-DS, ct-SS and ct-DS. Additionally, the geometric characteristics for sets of interest, which define the safety specification, constitute another input to the tool. While a GUI is designed to enhance the user-friendliness of PROTECT, the BCs may also be verified via configuration files executed through the command line. As the output, PROTECT returns BC $\mathcal{B}(x)$, initial and unsafe level sets γ and λ , and, for stochastic systems, the confidence level ϕ and a positive value c used to compute the safety probability.

Using methodologies from the *sum-of-squares* (SOS) optimization, facilitated by the SumOfSquares Python toolbox [5], PROTECT adopts polynomial structures for BCs expressed as $\mathcal{B}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^z q_j p_j(x)$, with basis functions $p_j(x)$ that are monomials over x , and unknown coefficients $q = [q_0, \dots, q_z] \in \mathbb{R}^z$ that need to be designed. PROTECT leverages parallelization techniques to facilitate the *simultaneous* verification of different BCs, differentiating them based on their polynomial degrees. In deterministic systems, upon finding a feasible BC, the parallel processing is terminated and the valid BC is returned to the user. Conversely, for *stochastic* systems, PROTECT awaits until all potential solutions are fully processed, subsequently selecting and returning the BC that *offers the highest probabilistic confidence*. This process is detailed in a pseudo-code illustrated in [4, Algorithm 1].

3 Benchmarking

All experiments were conducted on a desktop computer (Intel i9-12900). Table 1 compares the efficiency of PROTECT and FOSSIL in finding BCs for deterministic systems, for both dt-DS and ct-DS. Here, PROTECT was operated in a “*One-Shot*” mode, searching for a BC without parallelism. The majority of case studies are taken from FOSSIL’s own benchmarks. All examples in this table, including the FOSSIL configuration files, can be located in the folder /ex/benchmarks-deterministic. Notably, PROTECT always demonstrates better time efficiency for case studies up to four dimensions, while FOSSIL may (but not always) perform better

Table 2: Efficiency evaluation in BC construction for stochastic systems via PROTECT. We present case studies across three barrier degrees (2, 4, and 6), returning the barrier with the highest confidence ϕ .

Parallel Stochastic Systems				
	n	system	ϕ	time (sec)
RoomTemp	1	ct-SS	0.99	0.33
RoomTemp	1	dt-SS	0.99	0.53
VDP	2	dt-SS	0.88	14.3
ex_lin ₁	2	ct-SS	0.95	1.73
ex_nonlin ₁	2	ct-SS	0.72	1.81
TwoTanks	2	dt-SS	0.99	5.14
RoomTemp	3	dt-SS	0.99	1501
hi-ord ₄	4	ct-SS	0.99	1308

in cases with six and eight dimensions. However, as demonstrated in the higher-dimensional systems hi-ord₆-2 and hi-ord₈-2, FOSSIL performs significantly worse than PROTECT, which exhibits superior time efficiency in solving these problems. This is primarily because FOSSIL relies on a neural network approach to solve the problem; the tool’s runtime varies depending on the problem, as well as the verification process using satisfiability modulo theories (SMT) solvers.

In “*Parallel*” mode, PROTECT simultaneously searches for a valid BC of varying degrees, such as 2, 4, and 6. Once a valid BC is found, the other threads are terminated. The parallelism introduces minimal overhead when computing multiple results, and we found that running the degrees in parallel is 19-27% faster than computing the same degrees (2, 4, 6) sequentially. The cases in Table 1 all have valid degree 2 barrier certificates, and we omit the parallelism results due to space constraints.

Table 2 employs PROTECT for *stochastic* benchmarks. The “*One-Shot*” mode is omitted for the sake of space constraints. PROTECT optimizes the parameters during the SOS formulation, where either a level set or the overall confidence is fixed a priori. Unlike the deterministic setting, PROTECT awaits barriers of all degrees to terminate and return the one with the *highest confidence*. Consequently, stochastic case studies typically require longer completion times compared to the “*One-Shot*” mode or “*Parallel*” mode for deterministic systems, but at the gain of offering the highest confidence. For instance, ex_nonlin₁ had a confidence improvement of 32% compared with the selecting degree 4 in “*One-Shot*” mode. All case study descriptions and figures can be found in [4].

References

- [1] Alec Edwards, Andrea Peruffo, and Alessandro Abate. 2024. FOSSIL 2.0: Formal Certificate Synthesis for the Verification and Control of Dynamical Models. (2024), 1–10.
- [2] Abolfazl Lavaei, Sadegh Soudjani, Alessandro Abate, and Majid Zamani. 2022. Automated verification and synthesis of stochastic hybrid systems: A survey. *Automatica* 146 (2022).
- [3] Stephen Prajna, Ali Jadbabaie, and George J Pappas. 2007. A framework for worst-case and stochastic safety verification using barrier certificates. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* 52, 8 (2007), 1415–1428.
- [4] Ben Wooding, Viacheslav Horbanov, and Abolfazl Lavaei. 2024. PROTECT: Parallelized Construction of Safety Barrier Certificates for Nonlinear Polynomial Systems. *arXiv* (April 2024), 1–27. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2404.14804
- [5] Chenyang Yuan. [n. d.]. *SumOfSquares.py*. <https://github.com/yuanchenyang/SumOfSquares.py>